




Minutes	TAP Masterplanning Meeting
----------------	-----------------------------------

1 Date/Venue

Date 15/11/2012
Venue CER Premises, Avenue des Arts 53, B-1000 Bruxelles.
Time 13h00-18h00

2 Participants

Family Name	First Name	Reference Document for the Meeting
See attached list	 20121115153403702.pdf  20121115153403703.pdf	

3 Distribution list

Family Name	First Name	Abbr	Company	Phone	Speaker	e-mail

4 Minutes

TOP	What	Who	Time (min)
1	Welcome and tour de table		13.00 to 13.10
	- Presentations around the table		
2	Overall questions		13.10 to 13.40
	<p>a) What happens if I use another standard (if permitted according to TAP and if the same functions are covered) than the one described in the TAP Implementation Guides (IGs)?</p> <p>b) What happens if I do not produce my masterplan by 31 December 2012?</p> <p>c) How binding will my answers be? What happens if I have to modify my plans after having sent them by the end of December 2012?</p> <p>d) In my home country/ on my network there is carrier competition and I do not want my competitors to know my plans. Will my answers remain confidential?</p> <p>e) Are there translations of the Phase One deliverables, notably of the Implementation Guides, and of the ERA Technical Documents?</p> <p>No additional comments on the answers provided.</p>		

TOP	What	Who	Time (min)
3	Response template (Excel file)		13.40 to 13.50
	<p>Questions:</p> <p>a) Is the Excel template the only document to be submitted? <i>YES, but you can deliver additional documents if you wish so.</i></p> <p>b) What does column K "Reasons for any difference with outline plan in TAP TSI Masterplan" stand for? <i>Explanation of the non-compliancy to TAP obligations before 2016 for the Retail side (not the RU/IM)</i></p> <p>No additional comments on the answers provided. It was in general agreed that the respect of the target date 2016 should not be a problem for incumbent RUs already using UIC leaflets.</p> <p>UITP members are still in a transitory situation, many of them waiting for derogation from their Member States based on fact they are already using other standards to exchange the data. No non-incumbent long distance RUs were present.</p>		
4	RU/IM Matters		13.50 to 14.00
	<p>a) Is RU/IM planning with or without Train ID?</p> <p>No additional comments on the answers provided.</p>		
5	Question & Answers		14.00 to 15.00

TOP	What	Who	Time (min)
	<p><u>questions/remarks from attendees:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Should we answer on each BP in template, even if we already comply? (Svensson) Yes 2. Should we reply on PRM assistance, even if in Sweden the IM/SM is providing it? (Svensson) <i>Yes, if the customer addresses the RU. Please remember B.10 allows use of non-IT (fax, mail, ...) or different IT standard on bilateral agreement</i> 3. Should we reply on B.3? We do not have Special Offers (Svensson) <i>In such case, just say it does not apply. For RUs who have Special Offers we suggest to stay vague (e.g. "Still under evaluation")</i> 4. News on open points? (Svensson) <i>ERA has presented a paper on open points. For domestic tariffs ERA expects to use Netex. For ToD and MoL the relevant CEN group finished its works. No news about domestic fulfilment standards. At the moment all these points still remain open.</i> 5. Will all passenger companies have to make a masterplan? (Svensson) Yes. 6. Is NEB the competent body to inform RUs in its country about TAP obligations? (Svensson) <i>In principle it is the national Transport Ministry through one of its bodies but it can be NEB or other.</i> 7. Must also PTAs produce a master plan, when they are responsible for local train services? (Svensson) <i>No, they are not subject to TAP obligations, it's the RU who is contracted for the service.</i> 8. What are the possibilities for NEBs to enforce the TAP? (Svensson) <i>It varies from one Member State to another</i> 9. Many RUs would like to use Merits/Prifis to fulfil their obligations, will UIC support it? (Mallants) <i>This is the intention, there was yesterday a UIC meeting on the subject, UIC members may soon receive a confirmation by end November</i> 10. When will we have the specifications for our system to interact with the RRD? (Pogrzebski) <i>The RRD will be developed by 2015 and you can mention in your masterplan that this aspect is conditioned to the future specifications</i> 11. If I use the UIC leaflets, should I consider myself as compliant with the Regulation? <i>Yes, just mention that you use the leaflet in total compliance.</i> 		

TOP	What	Who	Time (min)
	<p>12. Why should I deliver my implementation plan before the final Regulation (re-publication)? <i>The Commission expects your Member state to ask you to send your implementation plans by end December 2012</i></p> <p>13. Am I right to base my implementation plans on the May version of the implementation guides? <i>They are not yet officially validated by DG MOVE, the question will be raised at the next steering Committee.</i></p> <p>14. 14. There is no separate mentioning of the Common Interface in the masterplan template. Does my company need to take the Common Interface into account?</p> <p><i>Yes, the Common Interface is a prerequisite for all RU/IM message exchange and needs to be implemented at company level in order to receive or send messages according to TAP 4.2.21.7. and the RU/IM Implementation Guide. Therefore, a Common Interface needs to be planned and installed before starting the message exchange. It should be noted that there is no difference for the Common Interface for TAF or TAP within RU/IM communication the Common Interface reference implementation build by the UIC Common Components Group and funded by the EC is already available at the one time registration fee of 10.000€ and the annual maintenance fee would be 1.200 € for updates, helpdesk support and SLL certificate Actors are still free to build on their own cost their own common interface based on the TAP 4.2.21.7, as long as it is fully compatible on the communication layer with the Reference Implementation.</i></p> <p>Questions and answers from today's meeting to be uploaded in the UIC website.</p> <p>All attendees are invited to send further questions to the designated website.</p>		

Final2: Brussels, Dominique Margottin based on Javier Ibáñez (CER) notes – 15.11.2012